



Council of the European Union  
General Secretariat

**Brussels, 08 May 2018**

**WK 5610/2018 INIT**

**LIMITE**

**RECH**

**WORKING PAPER**

*This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.*

**WORKING DOCUMENT**

---

From: ERAC Secretariat  
To: ERAC (European Research Area and Innovation Committee)

---

Subject: ERAC Plenary 17 May - agenda item 5.2 (Update on ERA National Action Plans and strategies)  
Follow-up note from the Commission

---

In view of the discussions under item 5.2 of the agenda of the ERAC plenary on 17 May, delegations will find attached a follow-up note from the Commission.



Brussels, 8 May 2018

**NOTE FOR THE ATTENTION OF THE ERAC DELEGATES 17 MAY 2018**

**Revised proposal for follow-up mechanisms related to the continuous discussions of the ERA National Action Plans**

The Commission was mandated to propose a follow-up on the implementation of the ERA National Action Plans. On 14 March 2018 the proposal was discussed in the workshop on ERA National Action Plans. At the following ERAC meeting it was decided that the proposal would be subject to a written procedure.

The following note proposes a revision of the proposal discussed in ERAC in March 2018 in relation to the follow-up on the progress of the implementation.

Revised proposal for following up on progress in the implementation

During the consultation process between 16 and 27 March, one proposal from Belgium for following the progress in the implementation of the ERA National Action Plans was received.

Progress under Priority 1 shall be monitored along the process used in the GPC to monitor the implementation of Priority 2b.

The monitoring is looking at whether any specific action stated in the National Action Plan has taken place and whether it has been completed, is on track or delayed. If no action has taken place, the monitoring should report whether the relevant action is cancelled or to be considered as delayed. Indication should be given on the prospective date for completion or whether the action is scheduled for a later point in time, as shown in the annex.

At its latest meeting, the SWG HRM agreed that the group would make a similar table to follow up on Priority 3 and each Delegate would prepare an update on this basis for the next meeting in October.

Two questions could be discussed:

1. Does ERAC agree to follow up on the implementation of Priority 1 using this model? If ERAC Delegates have a different proposal they are invited to put it forward;
2. If the model is agreed for Priority 1, can the model be extended to all ERA-related groups? (GPC and SWG HRM are already applying this model).

**Annex: The follow-up table of the progress in the implementation**

**1. Has any activity for this action, item, ... taken place ?**



**2. Was the action delayed ?**



**3. Is the action stopped ?**

YES				NO			
YES		NO		YES		NO	
YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO

**Terminated Results ?**

**On-going with delay**

**Finished Results ?**

**On track**

**Cancelled**

**Postponed till**

**Scheduled for**

